MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

MEMORIAL HERMANN HOSPITAL SYSTEM 3200 SW FREEWAY SUITE 2200 HOUSTON TX 77027 DWC Claim #: Injured Employee: Date of Injury: Employer Name: Insurance Carrier #:

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-08-6372-01

<u>Carrier's Austin Representative Box</u>
Box Number 54

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

JUNE 25, 2008

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "...The medical records indicate the patient was emergently admitted and received trauma treatment as reflected by the hospital's UB92. The admitting physician, Dr. Charles Metzger, admitted the patient. It is the hospital's position that the hospitalization was an emergency as defined pursuant to the Acute Care Hospital Fee guideline. Texas Mutual issued an underpayment of \$1,353.76 as a fair and reasonable reimbursement for trauma admits. However, this amounts to a reimbursement of less than 6% of billed charges which is not a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement under any managed care arrangement. It is the hospital's position that the patient required emergency medical treatment to resolve his complicated medical condition. Because there is no certainty or predictability as to what a patient's needs will be in any given emergency admit, the cost of providing necessary care and treatment cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. Therefore, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement of \$18,862.54 plus interest."

Amount in Dispute: \$18,862.24

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "In 2002 the Commission, now DWC, contracted with Ingenix, Inc. to develop MARs for inpatient hospitalization treatment. Ingenix recommended a percentage range of Medicare from 107% to 121%. T convert the Pricer dollar amount to the Ingenix recommended MAR \$7,058.91 is multiplied by 121% which equals \$8,541.28. Texas Mutual paid \$1,349.01, \$7,192.27, below the recommended MAR. Additional payment to the requestor in the amount of \$7,192.27 will follow under separate cover. The requestor, on the other hand, has failed to submit any information to support its billing of \$20,216.00 is either fair or reasonable for the service provided."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co., 6210 E. Hwy. 290, Austin, TX 78723

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 26, 2007 through July 27, 2007	Inpatient Services	\$18,862.24	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401 sets out the fee guideline for acute care inpatient hospital services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 878 Duplicate appeal. Request Medical Dispute Resolution through DWC for continued disagreement of original appeal decision.
 - 18 Duplicate claim/service.
 - CAC-W1 Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule adjustment.
 - CAC-W10 No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
 - CAC-97 Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure.
 - CAC-97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated. This change to be effective 4/1/2008.
 - CAC-217 Based on payer reasonable and customary fees. No maximum allowable defined by legislated fee arrangement.
 - 217 The value of this procedure is included in the value of another procedure performed on this date.
 - 426 Reimbursed to fair and reasonable.
 - 891 The insurance company is reducing or denying payment after reconsideration.
 - 920 Reimbursement is being allowed based upon a dispute.
 - W4 No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
 - 791 The insurance company is reducing or denying payment after reconsideration.
 - CAC-143 Portion of payment deferred.
 - 420 Supplemental Payment.

Findings

- 1. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 812.03. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).
- 2. Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 3561, requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective May 25, 2008, 33 Texas Register 3954, applicable to requests filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of

reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:

- The requestor seeks full reimbursement of billed charges based upon "It is the hospital's position that the patient required emergency medical treatment to resolve his complicated medical condition. Because there is no certainty or predictability as to what a patient's needs will be in any given emergency admit, the cost of providing necessary care and treatment cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. Therefore, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement of \$18,862.54 plus interest."
- The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined that full reimbursement of billed charges was fair and reasonable.
- Documentation of the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services was not presented for review.
- The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.
- The Division has previously found that "hospital charges are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs of providing services nor of what is being paid by other payors," as stated in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, 22 Texas Register 6276. It further states that "Alternative methods of reimbursement were considered... and rejected because they use hospital charges as their basis and allow the hospitals to affect their reimbursement by inflating their charges..." 22 Texas Register 6268-6269. Therefore, the use of a hospital's "usual and customary" charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

		November 29, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.